

**Informal Meeting Minutes
Monday, November 17, 2008
Meeting Scheduled Start Time: 10:00 AM**

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Maricopa County, Arizona
(and the Boards of Directors of the Flood Control District,
Library District, Stadium District, Improvement Districts,
and/or Board of Deposit)**



"The mission of Maricopa County is to provide regional leadership and fiscally responsible, necessary public services to its residents so they can enjoy living in healthy and safe communities"

Board Members

Andrew Kunasek, District 3, Chairman
Fulton Brock, District 1
Don Stapley, District 2
Max Wilson, District 4,
Mary Rose Wilcox, District 5

County Manager

David Smith

Clerk of the Board

Fran McCarroll

Meeting Location

Supervisors' Auditorium
205 W. Jefferson
Phoenix, AZ 85003

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County Arizona convened in Informal Session at 10:00 AM on Monday, November 17, 2008, in the Supervisors Auditorium with the following members present: Andrew Kunasek, Chairman, District 3; Max Wilson, Supervisor, District 4; Fulton Brock, Supervisor, District 1; Don Stapley, Supervisor, District 2; Mary Rose Wilcox, Supervisor, District 5. Also present: Lori Pacini, Deputy Clerk of the Board; Liz Evans, Minutes Coordinator; David Smith, County Manager; and Victoria Mangiapane, Deputy County Attorney.

Lori Pacini, Deputy Clerk of the Board, announced that item 4 on page 2, included a presentation and that items 7 and 8 had been withdrawn by the department.

PRESENTATION

Clerk of the Board

1. PROCLAMATION FOR NATIONAL HUNGER AND HOMELESSNESS AWARENESS WEEK

Proclaim November 16 - 22, 2008 as National Hunger and Homelessness Awareness Week.
(C-06-09-191-7-00)

Ms. Pacini read the proclamation at the request of the Chairman.

Motion to approve by: Supervisor Wilcox, Seconded by: Supervisor Brock
Ayes: Kunasek, Stapley, Brock, Wilcox, Wilson

Ms. Wilcox asked the County Manager to provide an update to the Board regarding the County's Homeless Campus and the challenges it faces in these difficult economic times.

Community Development

2. NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAM FUNDING

Presentation regarding the Neighborhood Stabilization Program funding through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Staff will brief the Board on the identification of proposed areas of greatest need as well as recommendations for activities and funding amounts to address the issue of vacant and foreclosed residential homes and properties in the Urban County. Staff will summarize the actions taken to date to support the recommendations, address the public comment period, and highlight next steps in the funding process. (C-17-09-046-P-00)

Renee Ayres-Benavidez, Community Development Director

Renee Ayres-Benavidez, Community Development Director, gave the Board an overview of the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Action Plan Amendment, which is part of the Community Development Block Grant program. She stated the County will be receiving approximately \$9.9 million. She explained that HUD regulations require the County to target the NSP funds to areas of greatest need, which have been identified using HUD's foreclosure and abandonment risk scores as within El Mirage, Buckeye and Goodyear.

Several of the Supervisors asked about various areas of the County and why they were not targeted for these funds. Ms. Ayres-Benavidez responded by saying they did not meet HUD's standards of greatest need. In the case of Queen Creek, she said the areas of greatest need were outside the service area of Maricopa County but would be serviced by Pinal County.

Supervisor Brock asked how titles would be structured. Ms. Benavidez answered properties would not be purchased by the County but by non-profit partners, with Habitat for Humanity as the contractor. Chairman Kunasek asked whether guidelines have been put into place regarding how funds are distributed. Ms. Ayres-Benavidez reviewed the guidelines with the Board.

Chairman Kunasek stated an intervention by the County may have adverse effects because homes may be worth even less in a year; he felt they should wait until the market rights itself. Ms. Ayres-Benavidez replied that guidelines mandate the money has to be obligated within 18 months or it reverts to the State. She said there has been less than one percent foreclosure rate with those that have been helped through the program because of the counseling that is also mandated.

Mr. Smith added they will work with private agencies to get affordable housing for disabled, domestic abuse, etc. He suggested purchasing homes that could be converted into shelters and transferring titles to the non-profit agencies who would manage them. Chairman Kunasek responded that he more comfortable in that scenario because there is a secondary benefit. Supervisor Wilcox suggested joining with the State to help people transition from campus care into homes.

Chairman Kunasek said he thought there are some great things that can come from the money but putting people into mortgages may end up hurting them more than helping. Supervisor Stapley said he has some of the same concerns but at same time, one of the purposes of the program is to stabilize the market. Chairman Kunasek replied this was too small an amount to help stabilize the market and these programs may have caused the problems in the first place. Supervisor Stapley said the facts have to be dealt with as they are and it would be irresponsible not to use the money as it was intended and to have some stabilization in the market. Supervisor Wilcox added the money is intended to stabilize neighborhoods. Supervisor Wilson made the comment that time will solve the problem, not

government.

Chairman Kunasek remarked he felt government might be the reason for some of the current problems. Ms. Ayres-Benavidez stressed they want this to succeed; there will be no sub-prime loans and no one will get into a home that they cannot afford. It is their intention not to artificially affect the market. Supervisor Brock, in closing out the dialogue, stated he thought that home values would pick up again.

PRESENTATION AND ACTION

Government Relations

3. PRESENTATION OF THE PROPOSED 2009 LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE

Presentation regarding the Proposed 2009 Legislative Package. At the conclusion of the presentation, approve Proposed 2009 Legislative Package. The 2009 Legislative Package is on file in the Clerk of the Board's office. (C-20-09-031-P-00)

Diane Sikokis, Director, Government Relations

Richard Bohan, Legislative Liaison

Mary Reiss, Federal Relations and Grants Analyst

Diane Sikokis, Government Relations Director, presented the proposed 2009 Legislative Package for consideration and adoption by the Board. She said that one-third of the Arizona Legislature is brand new, and the committee chairs have yet to be announced. Ms. Sikokis speculated that a more traditional appropriations legislature budget staff will result from changes in leadership. She maintained that Maricopa County needs to be proactive and ready to participate in discussions about the deficit because anything is possible in this fiscal environment.

Ms. Sikokis said last session Maricopa County's portion of the mandated state contribution fund was \$4.8 million. Supervisor Brock asked whether a lawsuit had been filed about the "sweeping of funds." Ms. Sikokis replied that cities and towns have filed a lawsuit challenging whether the State can mandate a contribution fund that was not passed by a two-thirds vote and although counties are not involved in the lawsuit, they could benefit if it is successful. She added the biggest challenge the County faces is the State adding more mandates to the budget; the number one priority is to protect County resources.

Richard Bohan, Legislative Liaison, reviewed statutory proposals with the Board. Regarding the proposal to increase the penalty for persons who do not properly control a dog with a history of biting humans, Supervisor Brock asked whether it would apply to the same owner of a different dog and Dr. Rodrigo Silva, Animal Care and Control Director, replied that it would.

Mary Reiss, Federal Relations and Grants Analyst, presented an update on the federal legislative situation. She then said the County's federal lobbyists would be meeting with the Board the first week in December. Supervisor Stapley said he would like to share some information relevant to the federal immigration issue. He stated President Bush has launched a review regarding how to revamp the ineffective immigration system.

Supervisor Wilson felt what the State is proposing is double taxation. Ms. Sikokis said the State has to have some acknowledgement about the role local governments play; counties now have to pay to use the Department of Public Safety (DPS) Crime Lab as well as new costs associated with photo radar.

Supervisor Brock asked whether the meth project was included in the federal request.

Supervisor Stapley replied that it would be included. Supervisor Wilcox commented on the national level we need to review our representation regarding upcoming policy changes that affect local government.

Motion to approve by: Supervisor Stapley, Seconded by: Supervisor Brock
Ayes: Kunasek, Stapley, Brock, Wilcox, Wilson

ACTION

Facilities Management

4. AMEND FY 2008-09 FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Amend FY 2008-09 Five-Year Capital Improvement Program

- 1) Approve the suspension of the Southeast Justice Center Project.

In accordance with A.R.S. Section 42-17106(B):

- a) **Decrease** the expenditure budget for the Southeast Justice Center (SEJC) project (Department 470, Fund 440) by a total of \$67,216,155, \$59,252,499 in Year 1 (FY 2008-09) and \$7,963,656 in Year 2 (FY 2009-10) thereby reducing the total project budget from \$88,376,900 to \$21,160,745 which is the amount that has already been spent on the project. This adjustment does not alter the duly adopted budget for purposes of A.R.S. § 42-17105, but rather reflects internal adjustments necessitated by a forecasted reduction in revenues.

- 2) Approve the suspension of the Durango 911 and Crime Lab Project.

In accordance with A.R.S. Section 42-17106(B):

- a) **Decrease** the expenditure budget for the Durango 911 and Crime Lab (DCRM) project (Department 470, Fund 441) by a total of \$12,677,519, \$11,775,519 in Year 1 (FY 2008-09) and \$1,300,000 in Year 2 (FY 2009-10) thereby reducing the total project budget from \$14,008,300 to \$1,330,781 which is the amount that has already been spent on the project. This adjustment does not alter the duly adopted budget for purposes of A.R.S. § 42-17105, but rather reflects internal adjustments necessitated by a forecasted reduction in revenues.

- 3) Approve the suspension of the 1st Ave Jail demolition and additional funding for project management.

In accordance with A.R.S. Section 42-17106(B):

- a) **Decrease** the expenditure budget for the Criminal Court Tower (JUST) project (Department 470, Fund 441) by \$2,798,800, adding \$3,500,000 in Year 1 (FY 2008-09) and decreasing by \$6,298,800 in Year 2 (FY 2009-10) thereby reducing the total project budget from \$341,557,800 to \$338,759,000. This adjustment adds an additional \$3,500,000 for Project Management and eliminates funding of \$6,298,800 for the 1st Ave Jail demolition, but leaves \$1,200,000 for the Judges Secured Passageway, Jury Assembly and Building Access Improvements. This adjustment does not alter the duly adopted budget for purposes of A.R.S. § 42-17105, but rather reflects internal adjustments necessitated by a forecasted reduction in revenues.

- 4) Approve changes to the scope of the Criminal Court Tower project.

The Criminal Court Tower project involves the construction of a state-of-the-art 32 (22 fully constructed, 10 shelled out) courtroom facility, approximately 682,792 square feet, to be constructed on the site of the current Madison Street Parking Garage. In addition to courtrooms, the facility will include a Jury Assembly area, a sally-port for prisoner transport, secured judicial parking, a food service area on the 1st floor and related space for Court support, and the County's Restorative Justice Program.

5) Fund Transfers:

Per A.R.S. §42-17106(b), approve the transfer of expenditure authority in the amount of \$10,895,804 from FY 2008-09 Non-Departmental (470) General Fund (100) Contingency (4711) Operating (0000) line item "Court Tower Maintenance and Operating Reserve" to Non-Departmental (470) General Fund (100) Infrastructure/CIP (4713) Operating (0000) entitled "CIP Fund Transfer." Authorize and approve a fund transfer moving \$10,895,804 from Non-Departmental (470) General Fund (100) Infrastructure/CIP (4713) Operating (0000) entitled "CIP Fund Transfer" to General Fund County Improvement Fund (445). This action also requires offsetting revenue and expenditure adjustments in the Eliminations (980) Eliminations Fund (900). This action moves \$10,895,804 to fund 445 for the future use for:

- 1) "Court Tower Project Management Reserve" in the amount of \$3,500,000
- 2) "Judges Secured Passageway" in the amount of \$1,200,000.
- 3) "Reserve for MCSO Crime Lab/Building 1916" in the amount of \$2,600,000
- 4) "Reserve for MCSO 911 Center Build Out" in the amount of \$3,000,000.
- 5) "Reserve for MCSO 911 Center Equipment" in the amount of \$595,804

The "Court Tower Project Management Reserve" is a total of \$6,800,000 of which \$3,300,000 was in the current Criminal Court Tower Project budget. The "MCSO 911 Center Equipment" estimated cost is \$8,000,000 of which only \$595,804 is funded. Additional funding will come from savings in the Criminal Court Tower Project Owner's Contingency line as the Criminal Court Tower Project is completed. (C-70-09-017-2-00)

Kenny Harris, Public Works Director, stated it had been a year and half since the Board approved the Court Tower Project. He said at this point the project is \$30 million over budget; \$259 million was budgeted so consequently, this agenda includes \$30 million in cuts. Mr. Harris added copper will be incorporated into the design of the building because copper prices have fallen so dramatically. The price escalation of structural steel is also dropping, so this is a good time to move forward. The project is scheduled to be completed by the first quarter 2012.

Sandi Wilson, Deputy County Manager, presented proposed changes to the budget in order to continue funding the Court Tower Project. Brian Hushek, Deputy Budget Director, said \$341 million had been approved for this project but in order to have the cash to fund the project, the suspension of several other projects is recommended. Supervisor Stapley said he had hoped to be presented with options instead of suspending these projects and he asked alternatives continue to be explored. Chairman Kunasek commented he understood the importance of moving ahead on the Court Tower Project.

Motion to approve by: Supervisor Wilcox, Seconded by: Supervisor Wilson
Ayes: Kunasek, Stapley, Brock, Wilcox, Wilson

5. CHANGE ORDER 2 TO DESIGN PHASE SERVICES WITH GILBANE BUILDING COMPANY TO ADD CCIP

Approve Change Order No. 2 to Contract C-70-08-022-5-00 with Gilbane Building Company of Phoenix, Arizona, for: (1) To the contract language to permit a change to the type of insurance for this project from an Owner-Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) to a Contractor-Controlled Insurance Program (CCIP), and (2) In the amount not-to-exceed \$6,600,000 as the lump sum premium due to Gilbane Building Company within 30 days of enrolling this project into the Gilbane Rolling CCIP program. The program is in the best interest of the County and is necessary in order to properly protect the County during the construction of this facility. Funds for this change order are within the approved budget. The Court Tower Project (Project No. 3325-07-380), located at the County's Downtown Campus in Supervisorial District 5.

An evaluation was conducted by Maricopa County, lead by Materials Management with participation from Risk Management, Facilities Management and the County Engineer, to determine the best and most cost-effective form of insurance coverage for the Court Tower Project (3325-07-380). Three forms of insurance coverage were evaluated: standard purchased insurance, Owner-Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) and Contractor-Controlled Insurance Program (CCIP). After careful consideration of the three best offers, and the coverages afforded by each, the evaluation team determined that the CCIP coverage afforded the best coverage and pricing, resulting in an estimated saving of \$180,000 over the next best coverage and price. (C-70-08-022-5-03)

Motion to approve by: Supervisor Wilcox, Seconded by: Supervisor
Ayes: Kunasek, Stapley, Brock, Wilcox, Wilson

6. AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT WITH GOULD EVANS FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION OF COURT TOWER PROJECT

Approve an amendment to the Contract (C-70-07-054-5-01) between Gould Evans and Maricopa County through the Facilities Management Department. The purpose of this amendment is to update the Contract Requirements and General Conditions to the Contract. This amendment is non-financial and is effective from February 2008 until February 2012. All other terms and conditions of the Contract remain in full force and effect.

Approve "Rider and Amendment to November 20, 2007 Contract between Gould Evans Associates, Inc. and Maricopa County" for the purpose of updating the Contract Requirements and General Conditions (originally attached as Exhibit B to the referenced contract). The Contract for the design of the Court Tower Facility (3325-07-380), dated November 20, 2007, was approved by the Board of Supervisors on September 19, 2007. This project is located in District 5. (C-70-07-054-5-02)

Kenny Harris explained the insurance guidelines to the Board. Chairman Kunasek asked why this change benefits the County. Mr. Harris responded that contractor controlled insurance is at a lower cost but the County is still fully covered and the contractor has to ensure that safety occurs.

Motion to approve by: Supervisor Stapley, Seconded by: Supervisor Brock
Ayes: Kunasek, Stapley, Brock, Wilcox, Wilson

Management and Budget

7. AMEND THE FY 2008-09 HIRING FREEZE

Approve Amendment No. 1 to the FY 2008-09 hiring freeze to be implemented in all judicial branch, elected and appointed departments and special districts effectively immediately upon Board approval.

The FY 2007-08 hiring freeze expired on June 30, 2008. It was re-approved by the Board on July 23, 2008. Due to further declines in our revenue forecasts, the Office of Management and Budget recommends that the Board revise the FY 2008-09 hiring freeze, and that it also apply to the districts. The FY 2008-09 Revised Hiring Freeze Process will be as follows: All positions currently vacant or that become vacant in the future will be subject to a hiring freeze. This freeze will be in effect until the end of the fiscal year. If a position becomes vacant which affects public health or safety, the elected office, department, agency, or district will contact the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Only positions that provide direct and critical public health and/or safety services, are essential to revenue collection, or are grant-funded and required to maintain compliance with the grant may be considered for exemption. In collaboration with the requesting elected office, department, agency, or district OMB will make a determination whether a recruitment is necessary in order to alleviate a critical public health or safety issue. If OMB and the elected office, department, agency, or district are in disagreement, the elected office, department or agency may appeal the decision to the County Manager. If still unresolved, the Elected office, department, agency or district may bring the item to the BOS on an agenda. Elected offices, departments, agencies and districts are required to meet or exceed the budgeted salary savings as indicated in their budget(s). In order to meet this requirement, positions authorized for recruitment during this hiring freeze may need to be held vacant for a period of time until budgeted salary savings is met. Elected offices, departments, agencies or districts that are over-budget year-to-date are not eligible for hiring freeze exemptions of any kind. (C-49-09-004-6-01)

This item was withdrawn by the department.

8. CAPITAL PURCHASING FREEZE

Approve Revision No 1 to the FY 2008-09 Capital Purchasing Freeze Process to be implemented in all judicial branch, elected and appointed departments and special districts effectively immediately upon Board approval. The FY 2008-09 Capital Purchasing Freeze Process was first adopted by the Board on July 23, 2008 (C-49-09-004-6-00).

Due to further declines in our revenue forecasts, the Office of Management and Budget recommends that the Board revise the FY 2008-09 Capital Purchasing Freeze Process. The FY 2008-09 Revised Capital Purchasing Freeze Process will be as follows: All procurements, including those made with the P-Card or any other procurement mechanisms, which meet any of the following, will be subject to a freeze: • Non-Capital Equipment (Object Code 804) • Furniture (All applicable Object Codes) • Computers, servers, printers, monitors, peripherals and other like items including the Desktop Refresh, Laptop Refresh and all other items covered under the Technology Financing Program, (All applicable Object Codes) • Capital Equipment not purchased as part of an approved Major Maintenance or Capital Improvement Project (All applicable Object Codes). This freeze applies to all funds and will be in effect until the end of the fiscal year. There will be NO EXEMPTION PROCESS. However, if a purchase affects the health or safety of Maricopa County citizens, or is deemed necessary, the elected office, department or agency will contact the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). In collaboration with the requesting elected office, department or agency, OMB will make a determination whether the purchase is appropriate. If OMB and the elected office, department or agency are in disagreement, the elected office, department or agency may appeal the decision to the County Manager.

If still unresolved, the elected office, department or agency may bring the item to the BOS on an agenda. (C-49-09-004-6-02)

This item was withdrawn by the department.

9. BUDGET BALANCING GUIDELINES

Adopt the "Maricopa County Budgeting for Results Budget Balancing Guidelines" (hereinafter referred to as "the Guidelines"). The purpose of these budget balancing guidelines is to start the process of restoring the County budget to sustainable structural balance, and challenge judicial branch, elected, and appointed managers to collaboratively identify and implement structural changes that will optimize critical public services and results at significantly lower spending levels. The Office of Management and Budget and all judicial branch, elected and appointed departments will follow the guidelines to balance the budget for FY 2008-09 and to develop a structurally-balanced budget for FY 2009-10.

The budget adjustments included in this item will restore the structural balance in the General Fund and Detention Fund budgets for FY 2008-09. The adjustments include reductions in the General Contingency budgets in these funds, which will need to be restored for FY 2009-10. Additional reductions will need to be made from department budgets during FY 2008-09.

Pursuant to the Guidelines and A.R.S. §42-42-17106 B, approve the following adjustments in the General Fund and Detention Fund operating budgets totaling \$60,811,492:

- 1) Within the appropriated budget for Non-Departmental (470) General Fund (100) Operating (0000), transfer \$7,398,559 in expenditure authority from the Reserved Contingency item "Pay for Performance", \$3,000,000 from the Reserved Contingency item "Retirement Contribution Increases", and \$6,400,000 from General Contingency to the Reserved Contingency item "Reserve for Revenue Shortfall."
- 2) Transfer \$1,374,100 in appropriated expenditures from Health Care Programs (390) General Fund (100) Operating (0000) to Non Departmental (470) General Fund (100) Operating (0000) to the Reserved Contingency item "Reserve for Revenue Shortfall," to reflect a reduction in the final enacted ALTCS Contribution from the amount included in the Adopted Budget.
- 3) Within the appropriated budget for Non-Departmental (470) General Fund (100) Operating (0000), transfer \$5,821,312 in expenditure authority from the item "Court Tower Debt" and \$28,017,521 in expenditure authority from the item "CIP Fund Transfer" to the Reserved Contingency item "Reserve for Revenue Shortfall," and reduce total sources in Non-Departmental (470) General Fund County Improvement (445) Non-Recurring Non-Project (0001) by \$33,838,833, with offsetting reductions in Eliminations. This adjustment does not increase the County budget due to the reduction in capital project appropriated budgets per Agenda C-70-09-017-2-00.
- 4) Within the appropriated budget for Non-Departmental (470) Detention Fund (255) Operating (0000), transfer \$3,800,000 in expenditure authority from the Reserved Contingency item "Pay for Performance", \$4,400,000 from the Reserved Contingency item "Retirement Contribution Increases", and \$600,000 from General Contingency to the Reserved Contingency item "Reserve for Revenue Shortfall."

This adjustment does not alter the duly adopted budget for purposes of A.R.S. § 42-17105, but rather reflects internal adjustments necessitated by a forecasted reduction in revenues. Item 3 above is contingent on approval of agenda C-70-09-017-2-00.

Maricopa County faces the challenge of maintaining fiscal stability during a period of unprecedented declines in revenue, followed by years of low growth. Through October, State Shared Sales Taxes are \$7.2 million (4.7%) under budget, and 7.0% lower than for the same period in FY 2007-08. Likewise, State Shared Vehicle License Taxes are \$1.2 million (2.4%) under budget, and Jail Excise Taxes are \$3.2 million (7.0%) under budget. Combined, Sales, VLT and Jail Tax revenues are forecasted to be as much as \$72.4 million under budget by the end of FY 2008-09. These revenue shortfalls are partially offset by previously adopted expenditure reductions and other revenue increases totaling \$11.6 million. The most critical services and results must be maintained for the public with a significantly lower revenue base. County and judicial branch leadership at all levels will need to work together to make the difficult choices that will be required to meet these challenges. (C-49-09-036-2-00)

Sandi Wilson, Deputy County Manager, stated the County needs to begin planning for FY 2009-10. She said sales tax revenues are down almost seven percent to date but were forecasted at 8.2 percent down from last year. She continued by saying there is a need to get vacancy savings down to three percent. Guidelines to consider today are for both FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-10.

Supervisor Stapley asked why departments are being asked to submit budget proposals with a 20 percent reduction. Ms. Wilson responded that they are just asking for proposals at this point and are hoping cuts will not have to be that deep. Supervisor Stapley commented he was concerned the exercise would create a lot of work and false expectations.

David Smith, County Manager, said the worst case scenario is a 15 to 20 percent reduction in budgets. He felt there was less than a ten percent chance of that happening but planning for the worst is a good exercise.

Supervisor Wilcox said she is afraid the County will be unprepared for what the legislature decides. Chairman Kunasek stated the time has come to fight on all fronts and he does not want the State to consider a 20 percent reduction in this budget as their money.

Motion to approve by: Supervisor Wilson, Seconded by: Supervisor Stapley
Ayes: Kunasek, Stapley, Brock, Wilcox, Wilson

10. GUIDELINES FOR FUNDING OF MANDATED STATE CONTRIBUTIONS

Approve the "FY 2008-09 State Contribution Funding Guidelines", pursuant to Laws 2008 Chapter 285, Section 47 and Laws 2008 Chapter 288, Section 10.

Per Laws 2008 Chapter 288, Section 10, Subsection A, Maricopa County is required to make a contribution to the State of Arizona of \$24,168,400. Also, per Laws 2008 Chapter 285, Section 47, Subsection A Maricopa County is required to make a second contribution to the State of Arizona and \$4,681,991. Laws 2008 Chapter 285, Section 47, Subsection B and Laws 2008 Chapter 288, Section 10, Subsection B both state: "Notwithstanding any other law, a county may meet any statutory funding requirements of this section from any source of county revenue designated by the county, including funds of any county wide special taxing district in which the board of supervisors serves as the board of directors." The purpose of the "FY 2008-09 State Contribution Funding Guidelines" is to direct the allocation of the State contributions among the various County funds. The specific amounts to be contributed by each fund will be adopted in a subsequent action. (C-49-09-037-2-00)

Ms. Wilson explained this item involves a one-time opportunity to move money out of certain County funds in order to pay the mandated FY 2008-09 State Contributions. Ms. Wilson outlined the guidelines that would be considered when reviewing various funds if the item is approved. Supervisor Wilcox indicated a recommendation should be presented to the Board

before any action is taken. Chairman Kunasek commented they needed time to fully inform other elected officials before a vote is taken.

Motion to approve by: Supervisor Stapley, Seconded by: Supervisor Brock
Ayes: Kunasek, Stapley, Brock, Wilcox, Wilson

MEETING ADJOURNED

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned.

Andrew Kunasek, Chairman of the Board

ATTEST:

Lori Pacini, Deputy Clerk of the Board